

REMARKS

In the Advisory Action dated October 11, 2007, the Examiner indicated that the response to the Final Office Action dated July 27, 2007, which was filed electronically on September 27, 2007, did not place the application in condition for allowance. The Examiner also indicated in the Advisory Action that claims 47-69 are allowed and that claims 26, 27, 33-39, and 70-77 are finally rejected. In response, Applicants are filing this Second Response to Final Office Action to cancel the finally rejected claims and to take the allowed claims. The Second Response to Final Office Action is filed in place of the Response to Final Office Action filed electronically on September 27, 2007.

Claim Objections

The Examiner objected to claim 27 due to informalities. Applicants have cancelled claim 27, thereby obviating the objection.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102 and § 103

The Examiner rejected claims 26, 27, 33-35, 70-72, and 74-78 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by *Luke* (4,648,455). The Examiner rejected claims 36-39 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by *Mokrys* (6,318,464). The Examiner rejected claim 73 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over *Luke* in view of *Sims* (5,990,370). Applicants have cancelled claims 26, 27, 33-39, and 70-78, thereby obviating the rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

The Examiner indicated that claims 47-69 are allowed. Applicants appreciate allowance of these claims.

Conclusion

Having addressed all issues set out in the office action, Applicants respectfully submit that the claims are in condition for allowance and respectfully request that the claims be allowed.

Respectfully submitted,

By 
William B. Patterson
Registration No.: 34,102
PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, LLP
3040 Post Oak Blvd, Suite 1500
Houston, Texas 77056
(713) 623-4844
Attorney For Applicants